

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com





Journal of Power Sources 159 (2006) 307–311

www.elsevier.com/locate/jpowsour

Short communication

# LiFePO4/carbon cathode materials prepared by ultrasonic spray pyrolysis

Mu-Rong Yang ∗, Tsung-Hsien Teng, She-Hung Wu

*Department of Material Engineering, Tatung University, Taipei, Taiwan* Available online 14 June 2006

## **Abstract**

Small crystallites LiFePO<sub>4</sub> powder with conducting carbon coating can be synthesized by ultrasonic spray pyrolysis. Cheaper trivalent iron ion is used as the precursor. The pure olivine phase can be prepared with the duplex process of spray pyrolysis (synthesized at 450, 550 or 650 °C) and subsequent heat-treatment (at 650 °C for 4 h). The results indicate that the pyrolysis temperature of 450 °C is appropriate for best results. The carbon coating on the LiFePO<sub>4</sub> surface is critical to the electrochemical performance of LiFePO<sub>4</sub> cathode materials of the lithium secondary battery, since the carbon coating does not only increase the electronic conductivity via carbon on the surface of particles, but also enhance the ion mobility of lithium ion due to prohibiting the grain growth during post-heat-treatment. The carbon of 15 wt.% evenly distributed on the final LiFePO<sub>4</sub> powders can get the highest initial discharge capacity of 150 mA h g<sup>-1</sup> at C/10 and 50 °C. © 2006 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

*Keywords:* LiFePO4; Ultrasonic spray pyrolysis; Cathode material

# **1. Introduction**

Currently,  $LiFePO<sub>4</sub>$  is a promising candidate for use as a cathode material in lithium ion batteries, especially for low-cost, environmentally friendly, thermally stable and very good electrochemical performance. However, two major shortcomings of LiFePO4, the poor electronic conductivity and lithium ion diffusion, pose a bottleneck for the commercial applications [\[1,2\].](#page-3-0) Some intimate carbon coatings can overcome those disadvantages, leading to the enhancement of capacity and rate capability [\[3–13\]. T](#page-4-0)he reductive carbon can avoid the formation of the  $Fe^{3+}$ , and increase the electronic conductivity of these materials at the same time. Huang et al. [\[4\]](#page-4-0) believe that both particle size minimization and intimate carbon contact are needed to optimize the rate capability of this material.

Ultrasonic spray pyrolysis (USP) is very suitable method for fabrication of high-density ceramic samples because the manufacturing process is one-step process and can prepare the wellcrystallized and homogeneous small particles with the spherical shape, pure phase [\[14,15\].](#page-4-0) Although there is no report on the

USP to prepare LiFePO4, USP seems to a feasible and deserved to investigate to prepare a homogeneous LiFePO<sub>4</sub>/carbon powders.

### **2. Experimental**

The precursor solution for atomization is an aqueous mixing solution of LiNO<sub>3</sub> (Wako), Fe(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>.9H<sub>2</sub>O (Wako, 99%),  $H_3PO_4$  (Wako, 85%), and ascorbic acid ( $C_6H_8O_6$ , Wako, 99.5%) in the de-ionized water at the molar ratio 1:1:1 of  $Li:Fe:PO<sub>4</sub>$ . The amount of white sugar added into the precursor solution is 60 wt.% of LiFePO<sub>4</sub> to be formed. The as-sprayed fine powders pyrolysis-synthesized at 450, 550, and 650 ◦C were heat-treated at 650 ◦C for 4 h in a tube furnace under a nitrogen atmosphere, and then furnace-cooled to room temperature.

The crystalline phase of the particles was investigated with X-ray diffraction (XRD, Shimadzu XRD-6000). Rietveld refinement was performed using the GASA (general structure analysis system) program to obtain the crystal structure parameters. The morphologies of the particles were observed with Scanning electron micrographs (SEM, Hitachi S-800), and high resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM, JEOL JEM-2010). The carbon amount can be determined with a CHN analyzer (Heraeus Vario EL III) and thermogravimetric–differential thermal analysis (TG–DTA, SDT 2960).

Corresponding author at: Department of Material Engineering, Tatung University, 40 Chungshan N. Rd, Sec. 3, Taipei 104, Taiwan.

Tel.: +886 2 25925252 3411 301; fax: +886 2 2593 6897.

*E-mail address:* [mryang@ttu.edu.tw](mailto:mryang@ttu.edu.tw) (M.-R. Yang).

<sup>0378-7753/\$ –</sup> see front matter © 2006 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi[:10.1016/j.jpowsour.2006.04.113](dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2006.04.113)

<span id="page-1-0"></span>

Fig. 1. XRD patterns for LiFePO4/C composite prepared at various *T*sp: (a) 450 °C, (b) 550 °C, (c) 650 °C and post-heat-treatment 650 °C, for 4 h under N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere.



Fig. 2. Rietveld refinement of the XRD patterns of LiFePO4/C composite prepared at  $T_{\text{sn}} = 450$  °C, and post-heat-treatment 650 °C, for 4 h under N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere.

The electrochemical properties of the LiFePO<sub>4</sub>/C powders were investigated in an electrochemical cell that comprised a cathode, and anode and an electrolyte of  $1 \text{ M }$  LiPF<sub>6</sub> in EC (ethylene carbonate)–DEC (diethyl carbonate) solution. The cathode

Table 1

Results of structural parameters obtained from Rietveld refinement of LiFePO<sub>4</sub>/C composite prepared at  $T_{sp} = 450 \degree C$ , and post-heat-treatment 650 °C, for 4 h under N2 atmosphere, in the orthorhombic *Pnma* space group, from XRD data

Atoms	<b>Site</b>	$\boldsymbol{x}$	ν	Z.	Occupancy	$Uiso$ (Å <sup>2</sup> )
Li	4a	$\Omega$	0	$\Omega$		0.015(5)
Fe	4c	0.2813(0)	0.25	0.9737(6)		0.014(9)
P	4c	0.0954(0)	0.25	0.4230(2)		0.013(1)
O(1)	4c	0.0902(4)	0.25	0.7379(6)		0.009(6)
O(2)	4c	0.4555(7)	0.25	0.2148(6)		0.009(1)
O(3)	8d	0.1638(7)	0.0498(6)	0.2832(6)		0.009(8)

Space group: *Pnma*,  $R_p = 7.02\%$ ,  $R_{wp} = 9.74\%$ ,  $\chi^2 = 2.33$ . Cell parameters:  $a = 10.3205 \text{ Å}, b = 6.0011 \text{ Å}, c = 4.6899 \text{ Å}.$ 

was prepared by mixing LiFePO<sub>4</sub>/C-based powders with 8 wt.% carbon black and 7 wt.% polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) in *n*methyl-2 pyrrolidone (NMP) solution. The charge and discharge characteristics of the cathode were evaluated at various current  $(C/10$  and 1C rates) in the range of 2.5–4.3 V Li/Li<sup>+</sup>. All elec-





Fig. 3. .SEM image of LiFePO<sub>4</sub>/C composite prepared at  $T_{\text{sp}}$ : (a) 450 °C and (b) post-heat-treatment 650 °C, for 4 h under  $N_2$  atmosphere.

<span id="page-2-0"></span>trochemical experiments were conducted at room temperature in a glove box filled with high purity argon gas.

#### **3. Results and discussion**

The as-sprayed power is black, but the crystallinity of the as-spray power is not good (the intensity of diffraction peaks of olivine phase is low). The X-ray diffraction patterns of powder pyrolysis-synthesized at different temperatures (450, 550 and 650 °C) and post-heat-treated at 650 °C for 4 h are shown in [Fig. 1.](#page-1-0) That indicates that on other diffractions peaks except olivine phase can be seen after heat-treatments. The amounts of carbon in the LiFePO4/C composite pyrolysis-synthesized at 450, 550 and 650 ◦C and subsequent heat-treatment at 650 ◦C for 4 h are 15.33, 9.42, and 8.34 wt.%, respectively. It implied that

the residual carbon determined with CHN analyzer decreases with increasing pyrolysis-synthesized temperature. The TGA data agree with these results.

[Fig. 2](#page-1-0) and [Table 1](#page-1-0) show the Rietveld refinement results of the XRD pattern of the LiFePO<sub>4</sub>/C composite sprayed at  $450^{\circ}$ C and post-heat-treated at  $650\,^{\circ}\text{C}$  for 4h in the nitrogen atmosphere. All peaks are indexed according to an orthorhombic olivine structure without any secondary peaks in the entire patterns. A space group of *Pnma* was selected as the refinement model. The error between the observed and calculated patterns is acceptable  $(R_{wp} = 9.74, R_P = 7.02)$ , the unit cell parameters for the orthorhombic cell were  $a = 10.3205 \text{ Å}$ ,  $b = 6.0011 \text{ Å}$ ,  $c = 4.6899 \text{ Å}$  and results data are shown in [Table 1.](#page-1-0)

The particle morphologies of the LiFePO4/C composites are non-agglomerated and exhibits extremely smooth surface



Fig. 4. HRTEM photography of LiFePO<sub>4</sub>/C composite prepared at *T*<sub>sp</sub>: (a) 450 °C, (b) post-heat-treatment 650 °C, for 4 h under N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere and (c) magnified image of (b).

<span id="page-3-0"></span>appearance is shown in [Fig. 3\(a](#page-1-0)). The average particle size is around  $1-2$   $\mu$ m. And the carbon is evenly distributed on the particles. From the HRTEM photography of [Fig. 4\(a](#page-2-0)), the outskirt of the particle is seemingly the carbon coating on the LiFePO4/C composite compound and that carbon particles completely cover the surface of the LiFePO<sub>4</sub> particles. The evenly distributed carbon will enhance the electronic conductivity of the cathode materials and the presence of the carbon on the surface of particle is beneficial to the conductivity [\[11,12\].](#page-4-0) Moreover, carbon particles between LiFePO<sub>4</sub> particles can prevent particle coalescence. Even after the post-heat-treatment, particle size seemingly remains the same and the surface of the powder becomes rugged appearance, as shown in [Figs. 3\(b\) and 4\(b\).](#page-1-0) The magnified image of [Fig. 4\(b](#page-2-0)), as shown in [Fig. 4\(c](#page-2-0)), reveals that the whole  $LiFePO<sub>4</sub>$  powder is covered with carbon. The small particle size of  $LiFePO<sub>4</sub>$  will also facilitate the lithium ion diffusion during intercalation/decalation processes, leading to the reduction of the cell resistance. The rugged appearance of the particles after post-heat-treatment may be attributed to the crystallization of LiFePO<sub>4</sub> and reduction of carbon content.

The charge and discharge curves shown in Fig. 5 were carried out between 2.5 and 4.3 V at a current density of C/10 rate. All samples have the plateau voltage of 3.4 V (versus Li/Li<sup>+</sup>), indicative of a typical two-phase reaction between LiFePO<sub>4</sub> and FePO<sub>4</sub> [\[3\].](#page-4-0) The discharge capacity, however, increases with decreasing spray pyrolysis temperature and reaches to  $124 \text{ mA} \text{ h} \text{ g}^{-1}$  for powder spray-synthesized at 450 ◦C. This value of discharge



Fig. 5. Charge-discharge curves of LiFePO<sub>4</sub>/C composite at C/10 rate prepared at various  $T_{\text{sp}}$ : (a) 450 °C, (b) 550 °C, (c) 650 °C and heat-treatment 650 °C, for 4 h under  $N_2$  atmosphere.



Fig. 6. Cycle-life of LiFePO<sub>4</sub>/C composite prepared at  $T_{sp} = 450$  °C and heattreatment 650 °C for 4 h in N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere under the various rates and temperature.

capacity is similar to that reported by Konstantinov et al. [\[13\],](#page-4-0) and they indicate that 15 wt.% carbon addition is the optimum level. With other reporters[\[3,11\], t](#page-4-0)he surface area and the carbon amount can influence the cell performance of LiFePO<sub>4</sub> as well. Thus, the largest discharge capacity for the  $T_{sp} = 450 \degree C$  sample could have the highest electronic conductivity.

The charge–discharge test was carried out at 30 or  $50^{\circ}$ C under a charge/discharge rate of 1C or C/10 are shown in Fig. 6. The results indicated that the initial discharge capacity value of LiFePO4 powder with 15 wt.% carbon addition at C/10 and 50 °C can reach as high as 150 mA h  $g^{-1}$ . The specific discharge capacity after 30 cycles is about  $128 \text{ mA h g}^{-1}$ . The initial discharge capacity discharged with 1C rate at 30 ◦C is reduced to only 75 mA h  $g^{-1}$ .

#### **4. Conclusions**

The homogeneous LiFePO<sub>4</sub>/carbon cathode materials have been successfully synthesized with ultrasonic spray pyrolysis from precursors contained cheaper trivalent iron ion. From the Rietveld refinement result, the USP can obtain a wellcrystallized LiFePO<sub>4</sub> compound. The 15 wt.% carbon evenly distributed on the final  $LiFePO<sub>4</sub>$  powders cannot only prohibit the grain growth during post-heat-treatment, but also can enhance the conductivity of the LiFePO<sub>4</sub> cathode materials.

#### **Acknowledgements**

The authors are indebted to the financial support of National Science Council (TAIWAN) under the grants NSC 92-2216-E-036-021 and Tatung Company.

#### **References**

- [1] A. Yamada, S.C. Chung, K. Hinokuma, J. Electrochem. Soc. 148 (2001) A224.
- [2] N. Ravet, J.B. Goodenough, S. Besner, M. Simoneau, P. Hovington, M. Armand, Electrochem. Soc. Abstr. 99–102 (1999) 127.
- <span id="page-4-0"></span>[3] A.K. Padhi, K.S. Nanjundaswamy, J.B. Goodenough, J. Electrochem. Soc. 144 (1997) 1188.
- [4] H. Huang, S.C. Yin, L.F. Nazar, Electrochem. Solid-State Lett. 4 (2001) A170.
- [5] Z. Chen, J.R. Dahn, J. Electrochem. Soc. 149 (2002) A1184.
- [6] P.P. Prosini, D. Zane, M. Pasquali, Electrochim. Acta 46 (2001) 3517.
- [7] R. Dominko, M. Gaberscek, J. Drofenik, M. Bele, S. Pejovnik, Electrochem. Solid-State Lett. 4 (2001) A187.
- [8] S.L. Bewlay, K. Konstantinov, G.X. Wang, S.X. Dou, H.K. Liu, Mater. Lett. 58 (2004) 1788.
- [9] S. Franger, F. Le Cras, C. Bourbon, H. Rouault, Electrochem. Solid State Lett. 5 (2002) A231.
- [10] J. Baker, M.Y. Saidi, J.L. Swoyer, Electrochem. Solid State Lett. 6 (2003) A53.
- [11] S.J. Kwon, C.W. Kim, W.T. Jeong, K.S. Lee, J. Power Sources 137 (2004) 93.
- [12] H.-T. Chunga, S.-K. Janga, H.W. Ryub, K.-B. Shimc, Solid State Commun. 131 (8) (2004) 549.
- [13] K. Konstantinov, S. Bewlay, G.X. Wang, M. Lindsay, J.Z. Wang, H.K. Liu, S.X. Dou, J.-H. Ahn, Electrochim. Acta 50 (2004) 421.
- [14] G.L. Messing, S.C. Zhang, G.V. Jayanthi, J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 76 (1993) 2707.
- [15] T.-H. Teng, M.-R. Yang, S.-H. Wu, Proceedings of the 12th International Meeting on Lithium Batteries, Nara, Japan, June 27, 2004.